

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/733,799	10/733,799 12/12/2003		Takashi Noma	492322015200	8803
25227	7590	07/21/2006		EXAMINER	
		ERSTER LLP	VU, DAVID		
SUITE 30	ONS BOUI	LEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2818	
				DATE MAILED: 07/21/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/733,7	99	NOMA, TAKASHI				
Off	fice Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit				
	·	DAVID VI		2818				
	MAILING DATE of this commu		<i>.</i>	orrespondence address				
Period for Repl	-							
THE MAILIN - Extensions of ti after SIX (6) Mi - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply recei	NED STATUTORY PERIOD F IG DATE OF THIS COMMUN ime may be available under the provisions ONTHS from the mailing date of this come reply specified above is less than thirty (in reply is specified above, the maximum is within the set or extended period for reply ved by the Office later than three months term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev munication. 30) days, a reply within the statatutory period will apply and w y will, by statute, cause the ap	ent, however, may a reply be tin cutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1 .			
Status								
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>05/04/06</u> .		,				
2a)☐ This a								
3) Since								
closed	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of (Claims							
4a) Of 5)⊠ Claim(6)⊠ Claim(7)□ Claim((s) 1-10 is/are pending in the the above claim(s) is/a (s) 5-10 is/are allowed. (s) 1-4 is/are rejected. (s) is/are objected to. (s) are subject to restrict.	are withdrawn from co						
Application Pap	pers							
10)⊠ The dra Applica Replac	ecification is objected to by the awing(s) filed on 12 December and may not request that any objectement drawing sheet(s) including the or declaration is objected to	er 2003 is/are: a)⊠ a ection to the drawing(s) g the correction is requi	be held in abeyance. Secret if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	d).			
Priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)⊠ All 1.⊠ 2.□ 3.□	wledgment is made of a claim b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation attached detailed Office action	y documents have bed y documents have bed s of the priority docum onal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicat ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
	erences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) Information D	ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (isclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o Aail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed on 02/02/06, with respect to the rejection of claims 1-4 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the final rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground of rejection is made in view of Chroneos, Jr. et al. (US 6,259,039) and Tometsuka (US Pat. 6,780,251).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chroneos, Jr. et al. (US 6,259,039, hereinafter Chroneos, Jr.) in view of Tometsuka (US Pat. 6,780,251).

Chroneos, Jr., in related text and figures 5&6 discloses semiconductor device manufacturing method, comprising: providing a substrate/carrier/PCB 102 (col. 2, lines 43-46); provided with a plurality of metal portions (solder balls) on a surface of the semiconductor PCB 102; suspending the PCB 102 on a plurality of pin 116 in a reflow furnace so that the metal portions are positioned upwardly in the reflow furnace (fig. 3); reflowing the metal portions on the surface of the semiconductor wafer suspended in the reflow furnace so that the metal portions

Art Unit: 2818

form conductive terminals using a first heater disposed in the reflow furnace and facing the surface of the PCB 102, wherein the PCB 102 is suspended by a plurality of pins (col. 5, lines 6-53).

Chroneos, Jr. disclose all claimed subject matter, but fails to expressly disclose the substrate 102 is formed of semiconductor material. Tometsuka, in related text, (col. 10, line 64 through col. 11, line 8) discloses the substrate is a semiconductor wafer (see figs. 6A-6B) but it could be formed of PCB materials. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for having the substrate as taught by Tometsuka in process for fabrication of Chroneos, Jr. since the material such as PCB/semiconductor wafer is recognized equivalent material for forming the substrate in a reflow process for manufacturing a semiconductor device.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 5-10 are allowed.
- The following is an examiner's statement of reason for allowance: the prior art of record, either singularly or in combination, does not disclose or suggest that semiconductor device manufacturing method by reflowing the metal portions so as to from conductive terminals using a first heater disposed to face the back surface of the semiconductor wafer while the semiconductor wafer is supported by the pins, as instantly claimed and in combination with the additionally claimed method steps.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance".

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Vu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1798. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am to 5:00pm. If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith S can be reached on (571) 272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR, Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/733,799

Art Unit: 2818

Page 5

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID VU PRIMARY EXAMINER